Tit 7 Case 13-30154 06/24/2013 ID: 8679821 DktEntry: 11 **U.5 LUURIS** (1) Page: 1 of 4 JUN 1 7 2013

REVO TO FILED TIME 2:11 pm

ELIZABETH A. SMITH

CLERK, DISTRICT OF IDAHO

Z:10-0089-N-LA-72 2190 E. Wesquite Hue Patrump, Neuron 89060 non-domestic, who the U.S. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
Alleged Plaintiff 13-30154 Amended Appenl Additions Michael George Fitzpatrick RECEIVED MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS Defendant in Ever JUN 2/4 2013 Coursent Michael George Fitzpatrick, Stulio idemarids this court, and the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals release me for its reversable errors, I ack of both due process and jurisdiction. Fer the record of accept all onthis of all people who hold office, especially Judge Lodge, otudge Burns, Lori Hendrickson, Éric Moosheigan, · Sherman Burger, etc, bond them to their outles , and remand them of their Advertory responsibility ito me. If The courts must accept this document as Y was moved without motice, no finds to buy stamps, and took this long to obtain materials. Both Judges and prosecutor have committed 8 Several revorsable errors on appeal.
29 Lori Herdrickson has not established jurisdiction

MS. Hease 13-301545 006/24/2018 S 9D: 8579821 nDkosnut-17 4Page 2014 slig whe the aueged case prior to bringing it to a Grand Jury. I was never allowed to face my accuser and thus never allowed cross examination 5 who was the man or women who assessed the original complaint. Who is the mystery man or 7 woman from the IRS who brought this case 8 against me? Why were they NEVER put on the 9 Stand for me to cross examine? ILS Agent 10 Sherman Burger testified in Sept, 2012 he was 11 the third agent, not the first. 13 valid witnesses in every case, which also includes 14 omissions, (See Franks v. us) 5 Larry Burns stated on the record, "the return to of an indictment establishes) wisdiction! Then The goes on to state, " the indictment is simply 8 an allegation! It is not a fact! The indictment 19 itself its defective. There are not any ofthis zo on it what so ever!? Judge Burns promised me I could 21 Mspect the original, which is proper procedure, and 22 never did. another reversable error. Ms. Hendrickson said she astablished two different 24 ways. One, was possession of a birth certicate. What does this factually prove? Is it proof y was "born" any where? That document was created by the State, and is an instrument of theirs, not me or more. If anything, of am the beneficiary 28 of that trust, and this court is tricking ine to set as trustee, which I decline and smil

M 4, Heastel 18-8015# ~06/24/2018 to 10! 8079821 DKYERTY: 5195 Pages & of 4 ed Y was in the "District of Idaho." are these the same witnesses who were ALL declared manufestent and beyond their suspe? She impanhed her own witnesses When I cross examine them, sustained by Judge lodge, then uses them threemily against me? Ms. Hendrickson testitized on the record in both trials, she is not allowed to. Both Judges allowed her testimony and should be sanctioned for doing so. She birised the jury by stating, "= I can go to the Bell Agoro and afterd to gamble due to not paying meane taskes! Therefore, EVERY PATRON who so participates in the 3 gaming industry according to Ms, Hendurckson
is guilty of tax evasion. This bias proves & 4 5 was/am not allowed a fair trial by an impartal 16 Judge and Juny, prosecution. Ms. Hendrickson Ited to the court, Jury saying I owned a "debt relict company" exued Nevada e Corporate Planners and made profits. Not only are there no facts in evidence of either, this company Still is m operation and is owned by Scott Letterneau, and I was a circuit! More lives, errors that demand both dismissal, and 25 recusal, release from prison immediately with 26 damages SUMMARY 27

Case: 18-30154 06/24/2013 ID: 8679824 EbktEhtly: 11 Page: 4 6f 4 that they for superchuent of those witnesses. Reversable errors on appeal. Both friends were promised to use the venue 4, Under the constitution, yet are not an article 111 5 Both Judges and Prosecutor have acted outside 7 their authorities and broken the laws criminally. Both home committed front on the court by The alleged defendant does not consent by appearance as an appearances were done 12 under threats, duress, and coercion. 13 Prosecution never provided subject matter or 14 15 The march ment was fortal errors and 16 Onogissians. My Partner got a deal by you not me.
That alone proves this was not a deal by you not me.
Pemedy Sought & fair trial. 17 18 Release me rommedistely. Repent and Sin (9 no more, Repair by damages. 20 Michael George Fitz Patricolc 21 55 MISCALL are rights reserved 23 Certifrante of Service 24 Court clerk will noticy parties electronically